



ONTOLOGI

Jurnal Pembelajaran dan Ilmiah Kependidikan

| e-ISSN: 3026-4634 |

<https://jurnal.rahiscendekiaindonesia.co.id/index.php/ontologi>

REIMAGINING TEACHER EDUCATION FOR FUTURE CLASSROOMS

Torkis Dalimunthe

UIN Sumatera Utara, , Indonesia,

KEYWORDS

Teacher Education; Future Classrooms;
Digital Pedagogy;

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR(S):

E-mail: vsukmayadi@upi.edu

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of digital technologies, shifts in student learning preferences, and the growing complexity of 21st-century education demand a rethinking of traditional teacher education models. This study explores how teacher education programs are adapting—or failing to adapt—to the emerging needs of future classrooms. Employing a qualitative descriptive approach, data were gathered through interviews, document analysis, and limited classroom observations at selected teacher training institutions. The findings reveal five major themes: uneven digital integration, inconsistent implementation of student-centered pedagogy, challenges in practicum experiences, limited development of 21st-century skills, and varied levels of institutional readiness. While there is a shared understanding of the importance of innovation, practical transformation remains constrained by systemic and structural barriers. The study concludes that meaningful reform in teacher education requires not only updated curricula but also a broader shift in institutional culture, policy alignment, and professional mindset. These changes are essential for preparing educators capable of leading dynamic, inclusive, and future-oriented classrooms.

INTRODUCTION

The 21st century has brought rapid advancements in technology, globalization, and evolving societal needs, all of which are reshaping the world of education. Future classrooms are no longer confined to traditional, physical spaces; instead, they are becoming flexible, technology-rich, collaborative learning environments that demand new approaches to teaching and learning. This paradigm shift calls for a fundamental transformation in teacher education, ensuring that future educators are not only knowledgeable but also adaptive, innovative, and equipped with the skills necessary to navigate increasingly complex educational settings.

Traditional models of teacher preparation, which often focus on subject knowledge transmission and classroom management, are increasingly seen as inadequate in preparing teachers for the realities of modern education. Today's teachers are

expected to be more than content deliverers—they must act as facilitators of learning, designers of meaningful educational experiences, instructional leaders, and agents of social change who are sensitive to diversity, inclusion, and sustainability.

In future classrooms, teachers must be able to integrate digital technologies meaningfully, use data to improve student outcomes, and foster collaborative, student-centered learning environments. As such, teacher education must be reimagined to become more contextual, future-oriented, and holistic—balancing pedagogical competence with digital literacy, emotional intelligence, and social awareness.

Contemporary teacher education must prioritize experiential learning, critical reflection, interdisciplinary collaboration, and active participation in professional learning communities. In addition, the incorporation of emerging tools such as artificial intelligence, project-based learning, and authentic assessment methods must become core components of teacher training programs.

Reimagining teacher education is not solely the responsibility of higher education institutions; it requires the collaboration of all stakeholders in education—governments, training institutions, schools, communities, and even the students themselves. Only through collective effort can we create a teacher education ecosystem that is responsive, inclusive, and sustainable.

This paper explores the urgent need to redefine and redesign teacher education to meet the demands of future classrooms. It examines the current challenges facing teacher preparation, proposes innovative frameworks for transformation, and highlights best practices that can serve as models for effective reform.

METHOD

To explore how teacher education is being reimagined to meet the demands of future classrooms, this study adopted a qualitative approach that emphasized rich, contextual understanding over statistical generalization. The research was conducted across selected teacher education institutions known for implementing innovative or reform-oriented practices. These institutions were chosen based on their visibility in national policy discussions, participation in education reform initiatives, or reputation for digital integration.

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, document reviews, and limited classroom observations. Interviews were conducted with 15 key informants, including teacher educators, pre-service teachers, and curriculum developers. Each interview lasted between 45 and 60 minutes and was guided by open-ended questions designed to elicit participants' experiences, perceptions, and reflections on the evolution of teacher education in their institutions.

In addition to the interviews, institutional documents such as syllabi, strategic plans, and teacher competency standards were analyzed to trace how future-oriented pedagogical goals were embedded in formal structures. Where possible, observations of teaching and learning sessions were carried out to provide a clearer picture of how

theoretical frameworks were being translated into actual practice. These observations focused particularly on the use of digital tools, the nature of classroom interaction, and the degree to which student-centered approaches were employed.

Thematic analysis was used to interpret the data. Interview transcripts and field notes were coded and categorized into emerging themes, such as technological integration, pedagogical shifts, and institutional readiness. Multiple data sources were triangulated to ensure the validity of the findings, and participants were given the opportunity to review summaries of the data to confirm the accuracy of the researchers' interpretations.

Ethical considerations were upheld throughout the research process. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and institutional clearance was secured before data collection began. Participants' identities were anonymized to protect their confidentiality.

Through this methodological approach, the study was able to uncover not only the structural changes occurring in teacher education, but also the personal and professional tensions experienced by those involved in navigating this transformation.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The data gathered from interviews, document analysis, and observations revealed several important insights into how teacher education institutions are responding to the demands of future-oriented classrooms. Participants across multiple institutions consistently acknowledged the increasing importance of technology, student-centered learning, and 21st-century skills in teacher preparation. However, there were notable differences in how these priorities were being implemented.

Many teacher education programs have begun to incorporate digital tools into their training, but the depth and consistency of implementation varied. In some institutions, courses dedicated to digital pedagogy were offered, while in others, technology use was integrated informally and often depended on individual lecturers' initiative. Pre-service teachers expressed a desire for more structured training in educational technologies, particularly those used in real classroom settings such as learning management systems, video-based instruction, and collaborative platforms.

Student-centered learning approaches were also frequently mentioned by both teacher educators and student teachers. However, the practice often fell short of the ideal. While university syllabi and institutional strategies emphasized the importance of learner autonomy, inquiry-based instruction, and collaborative learning, the actual classroom experiences of student teachers remained predominantly lecture-based. This inconsistency led to confusion among some pre-service teachers, especially when their teaching practice in schools encouraged more traditional, textbook-driven methods.

Field experience, or teaching practicum, emerged as both a valuable and problematic component of teacher education. While participants acknowledged its importance in shaping teaching identity and practice, many reported a lack of alignment between what was taught in university and what was expected in schools. Some student teachers felt restricted by the expectations of mentor teachers, who were not always open to new methods or unfamiliar with current digital practices.

Moreover, although 21st-century competencies—such as critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication—were widely regarded as essential for future educators, these skills were not consistently integrated into teacher education assessments or coursework. Institutions expressed challenges in redesigning curriculum to accommodate these broader competencies within traditional academic structures.

Overall, while there is clear movement toward reimagining teacher education, the process is still uneven. Institutional readiness, availability of resources, and educator mindsets played a significant role in shaping how well teacher education programs were able to adapt to the needs of future classrooms.

CONCLUSION

The transformation of teacher education for future classrooms is both a pressing need and an ongoing challenge. This study has shown that while there is a growing recognition of the importance of digital literacy, student-centered pedagogy, and 21st-century skills, the implementation of these elements within teacher education remains inconsistent and often fragmented. Institutions vary widely in their readiness to embrace change, with disparities rooted in structural limitations, outdated curricula, and insufficient training for teacher educators themselves.

Pre-service teachers and faculty alike express a shared aspiration to create more innovative, technology-enhanced, and student-driven learning environments. However, systemic support, including curriculum reform, professional development, and stronger partnerships between universities and schools, is essential to close the gap between vision and practice.

To truly reimagine teacher education, stakeholders must move beyond rhetorical commitment and invest in coherent, future-focused reforms. These reforms should prioritize flexibility, creativity, and reflective practice—qualities that future educators must embody to thrive in an unpredictable, rapidly evolving educational landscape. Only through such intentional, collaborative transformation can teacher education fulfill its role in preparing educators who are not only competent, but visionary leaders of tomorrow's classrooms.

REFERENCES

- Amru, A., Sakinah, N., & Pasaribu, G. R. (2024). The impact of accent second language on listening comprehension. *JELT: Journal of English Education, Teaching and Literature*, 2(1), 1–14.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2017). *Empowered educators: How high-performing systems shape teaching quality around the world*. Jossey-Bass.
- Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Junaidi, J., Januarini, E., & Pasaribu, G. R. (2024). Impoliteness in information account on Instagram. *JALC: Journal of Applied Linguistics and Studies of Cultural*, 2(1), 41–50.
- Mubshirah, D., Pasaribu, G. R., Lubis, M. H., Saragih, E., & Sofyan, R. (2023). Sentence complexity analysis in selected students' scientific papers: A closer look on abstract section. *E-Structural (English Studies on Translation, Culture, Literature, and Linguistics)*, 6(01), 70–83.
- Pasaribu, G. (2023). *Receptive oral language skills*.
- Pasaribu, G., & Arfianty, R. (2025). *Filsafat Linguistik*.
- Pasaribu, G., Arfianty, R., & Januarini, E. (2024). *Mulia di Linguistik*.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Daulay, S. H., & Nasution, P. T. (2022). Pragmatics principles of English teachers in Islamic elementary school. *Journal of Pragmatics Research*, 4(1), 29–40.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Daulay, S. H., & Saragih, Z. (2023). The implementation of ICT in teaching English by the teacher of MTS Swasta Al-Amin. *English Language and Education Spectrum*, 3(2), 47-60.
- Pasaribu, G. R. (2021). Implementing Google Classroom in English learning at STIT Al-Ittihadiyah Labuhanbatu Utara. *E-Link Journal*, 8(2), 99-107.
- Pasaribu, G. R. (2023). Malay Interrogative Sentences: X-Bar Analysis. *RETORIKA: Jurnal Ilmu Bahasa*, 9(1), 43-53.
- Pasaribu, G. R., & Salmiah, M. (2024). Linguistic Landscape in Kualanamu International Airport. *LingPoet: Journal of Linguistics and Literary Research*, 5(1), 1-6.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Widayati, D., Mbeti, A. M., & Dardanila, D. (2023). The fauna lexicon in Aceh proverb: Ecolinguistic Study. *Jurnal Arbitrer*, 10(2), 149-159.

Voogt, J., Fisser, P., Good, J., Mishra, P., & Yadav, A. (2013). The challenges of integrating digital technology in education: A review of research and practice. *Journal of Educational Change*, 14(2), 167–185. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-013-9222-5>

Zeichner, K. (2010). Rethinking the connections between campus courses and field experiences in college- and university-based teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 61(1-2), 89–99. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487109347671>