



JELT

Journal of English Education, Teaching, and Literature

| e-ISSN: 3026-4812 |

<https://jurnal.rahiscendekiaindonesia.co.id/index.php/jetl>



Education Article

Code-Switching Practices in English Classrooms: A Sociolinguistic Study

Murniati Br Barus

Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia

KEYWORDS

Keywords: Code-Switching, Sociolinguistics, Classroom Interaction, EFL, Language Teaching

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR(S):

¹ murniati2@usu.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This study investigates code-switching practices in English classrooms from a sociolinguistic perspective. It aims to identify the types of code-switching used by teachers and students, as well as to explore their functions in facilitating classroom interaction. The study employs a qualitative descriptive approach, with data collected through classroom observations and audio recordings of teaching and learning activities. The participants include one English teacher and a group of students in an EFL classroom setting.

The findings reveal that code-switching occurs frequently during classroom interaction and serves important communicative and pedagogical purposes. Three types of code-switching were identified: inter-sentential switching, intra-sentential switching, and tag-switching. Among these, inter-sentential switching is the most dominant type, primarily used by the teacher to explain materials and ensure students' comprehension. Intra-sentential switching is used by both teacher and students as a strategy to overcome vocabulary limitations, while tag-switching appears in maintaining interaction and checking understanding.

Furthermore, the study shows that teachers use code-switching more frequently than students, reflecting their role in managing classroom communication. From a sociolinguistic perspective, code-switching is influenced by factors such as language proficiency, context, and communicative needs.

In conclusion, code-switching is a valuable strategy in EFL classrooms that supports understanding and interaction. However, it should be used strategically to balance the use of the first language and the target language in order to optimize language learning outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Language plays a central role in classroom interaction, particularly in multilingual and multicultural settings where more than one language is used. In English language classrooms, especially in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) contexts, teachers and students often share a common first language while using English as the target language of

instruction. This situation naturally gives rise to the phenomenon of code-switching, where speakers alternate between two or more languages within a conversation or utterance.

Code-switching is a common sociolinguistic practice that reflects speakers' linguistic repertoire and communicative needs. It is not merely a sign of linguistic deficiency, but rather a strategic and meaningful use of language to facilitate understanding, manage interaction, and express identity. In classroom contexts, code-switching often occurs when teachers explain complex concepts, give instructions, or clarify meaning, while students may switch codes to ask questions, express ideas, or seek clarification.

From a sociolinguistic perspective, code-switching is influenced by various social factors, including participants' roles, relationships, language proficiency, and the communicative context. In the classroom, the teacher's role as an authority figure and facilitator of learning often shapes when and how code-switching occurs. Similarly, students' language abilities and confidence levels may determine their reliance on either the target language or their first language during interaction.

The use of code-switching in English classrooms has been widely debated. Some educators view it as a useful pedagogical tool that supports comprehension and reduces learners' anxiety. By allowing the use of the first language, teachers can ensure that important concepts are clearly understood, especially for learners with lower proficiency levels. In this sense, code-switching can enhance the effectiveness of teaching and learning processes.

On the other hand, excessive use of code-switching may limit students' exposure to the target language and reduce opportunities for practicing English. It may also lead to overdependence on the first language, which can hinder the development of communicative competence in English. Therefore, the use of code-switching in the classroom requires careful consideration and balance.

Previous studies have shown that code-switching serves various functions in classroom interaction, such as explaining grammar, managing classroom behavior, building rapport, and emphasizing important points. However, the patterns and functions of code-switching may vary depending on the specific context, including the level of education, students' proficiency, and institutional policies regarding language use.

Despite the growing body of research, there is still a need for context-specific studies that explore how code-switching is actually practiced in real classroom settings. Understanding these practices can provide valuable insights into how language is used as a resource for teaching and learning.

Therefore, this study aims to investigate code-switching practices in English classrooms from a sociolinguistic perspective. It focuses on identifying the types, functions, and factors influencing code-switching among teachers and students. The findings of this study are expected to contribute to a better understanding of bilingual classroom interaction and to inform more effective language teaching practices.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach with a descriptive research design to investigate code-switching practices in English classrooms from a sociolinguistic perspective. The qualitative approach is selected because it allows for an in-depth exploration of naturally occurring language use, while the descriptive design aims to systematically describe the types, functions, and patterns of code-switching without manipulating any variables.

The study is conducted in an English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classroom where both the teacher and students share a common first language and use English as the target

language. The participants consist of one English teacher and a group of students who actively engage in classroom interaction. They are selected through purposive sampling based on their involvement in teaching and learning activities that involve bilingual communication.

The data of this study are collected from both primary and supporting sources. Primary data are obtained through classroom observation and audio recordings of teacher-student interactions. These recordings capture authentic instances of code-switching as they occur naturally during lessons. Supporting data may include lesson plans or teaching materials that provide additional context for the interaction.

The techniques of data collection include non-participant observation and documentation. The researcher observes the classroom without interfering in the interaction to ensure the natural use of language. The recorded interactions are then transcribed into written form to facilitate detailed analysis of code-switching instances.

The data are analyzed using qualitative data analysis procedures. The steps include identifying instances of code-switching, classifying them into types (such as inter-sentential, intra-sentential, and tag-switching), and interpreting their communicative functions. The analysis also considers sociolinguistic factors such as participants' roles, language proficiency, and situational context.

To ensure the trustworthiness of the findings, this study applies triangulation techniques. Method triangulation is achieved by comparing observation and documentation data, while source triangulation is used by analyzing both teacher and student interactions. These strategies are intended to enhance the credibility and validity of the research results.

RESULTS

The findings of this study reveal that code-switching frequently occurs in classroom interaction between the teacher and students. The analysis shows that both participants actively use code-switching as part of their communication during the teaching and learning process. The occurrence of code-switching is not random but serves specific communicative purposes within the classroom context.

Based on the data, three main types of code-switching were identified: inter-sentential switching, intra-sentential switching, and tag-switching. Inter-sentential switching is the most dominant type, where the speaker switches languages between sentences. For example, the teacher often explains a concept in English and then repeats or clarifies it in the students' first language.

Intra-sentential switching is also observed, although less frequently. This type occurs when the speaker switches languages within a single sentence. It is commonly used by both teacher and students when they lack certain vocabulary in English or want to emphasize particular words or phrases.

Tag-switching is the least frequent type found in the data. It appears when short expressions or tags from one language are inserted into an utterance in another language, such as "okay," "ya," or "right." These tags are used to maintain interaction and check understanding.

The findings also indicate that the teacher uses code-switching more frequently than the students. This is mainly because the teacher plays a central role in delivering instructions, explaining materials, and managing classroom interaction. Code-switching is used strategically to ensure that students understand the lesson content clearly.

In addition, students use code-switching when asking questions, responding to the teacher, or interacting with peers. Their use of code-switching is often influenced by their

level of English proficiency. Students with lower proficiency tend to rely more on their first language, while those with higher proficiency use English more consistently.

Overall, the results show that code-switching is an integral part of classroom communication. It functions as a practical tool that helps facilitate understanding and supports the teaching and learning process in an EFL classroom setting.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study highlight that code-switching is a natural and functional aspect of classroom interaction in an EFL context. The frequent use of code-switching by both teachers and students indicates that bilingual language use is not a sign of linguistic deficiency, but rather a strategic resource to facilitate communication and understanding. This supports the sociolinguistic view that language choice is shaped by context, participants, and communicative goals.

The dominance of inter-sentential switching suggests that teachers consciously alternate between English and the students' first language to ensure clarity of instruction. By explaining concepts in English and then reinforcing them in the first language, teachers help bridge comprehension gaps. This aligns with the pedagogical function of code-switching as a tool to scaffold learning, particularly for students with limited proficiency in the target language.

Intra-sentential switching, although less frequent, reflects learners' linguistic limitations and their attempts to maintain communication. When students lack specific vocabulary in English, they insert elements from their first language to express their ideas. This behavior demonstrates that code-switching serves as a compensatory strategy, allowing learners to participate in interaction despite their limited linguistic resources.

Tag-switching, while minimal, plays an important role in maintaining interactional flow. The use of tags such as "okay" or "ya" helps speakers manage discourse, check understanding, and create a more interactive classroom environment. This finding indicates that even small linguistic elements contribute to effective communication.

The greater use of code-switching by teachers compared to students reflects the teacher's role as a facilitator of learning. Teachers intentionally use code-switching to explain complex material, give instructions, and manage classroom behavior. This strategic use highlights the importance of teacher awareness in controlling when and how code-switching is applied in the classroom.

From a sociolinguistic perspective, the use of code-switching is influenced by factors such as power relations, language proficiency, and communicative context. The teacher's authority allows for more flexible language use, while students tend to adjust their language choices based on their confidence and ability. This interaction reflects the dynamic nature of bilingual communication in educational settings.

However, while code-switching offers clear benefits, its excessive use may reduce students' exposure to English. If students rely too heavily on their first language, they may have fewer opportunities to practice and develop their English proficiency. Therefore, a balance must be maintained to ensure that code-switching supports, rather than hinders, language learning.

The findings of this study are consistent with previous research, which shows that code-switching serves multiple pedagogical and communicative functions in EFL classrooms. It reinforces the idea that code-switching can be an effective teaching strategy when used appropriately and purposefully.

In conclusion, the discussion emphasizes that code-switching is a valuable communicative strategy in classroom interaction. Teachers should use it strategically to enhance understanding while still maximizing students' exposure to the target language. By doing so, code-switching can contribute positively to both language learning and classroom communication.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that code-switching is a significant and functional feature of interaction in English classrooms. Both teachers and students actively engage in code-switching as a communicative strategy to support the teaching and learning process. The findings show that code-switching is not used randomly, but serves clear pedagogical and interactional purposes.

Three main types of code-switching were identified, namely inter-sentential, intra-sentential, and tag-switching, with inter-sentential switching being the most dominant. This indicates that teachers deliberately alternate languages to clarify explanations and ensure students' understanding. Meanwhile, students use code-switching primarily as a strategy to overcome linguistic limitations and maintain participation in classroom interaction.

The study also reveals that teachers use code-switching more frequently than students, reflecting their central role in managing communication and facilitating learning. From a sociolinguistic perspective, this practice is influenced by factors such as language proficiency, classroom context, and communicative needs.

Overall, code-switching plays a positive role in enhancing comprehension and interaction in EFL classrooms. However, it should be used strategically and in moderation to ensure that students still receive sufficient exposure to the target language. When applied appropriately, code-switching can serve as an effective tool to support both language learning and classroom communication.

REFERENCES

- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Corder, S. P. (1981). *Error analysis and interlanguage*. Oxford University Press.
- Creswell, J. W. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Fromkin, V., Rodman, R., & Hyams, N. (2018). *An introduction to language* (11th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- James, C. (1998). *Errors in language learning and use: Exploring error analysis*. Longman.
- Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Pergamon Press.
- Lieber, R. (2016). *Introducing morphology* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2023). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Pasaribu, G. (2023b). *Receptive oral language skills*.
- Pasaribu, G., & Arfianty, R. (2025). *Filsafat linguistik*.
- Pasaribu, G., Arfianty, R., & Januarini, E. (2024). *Mulia di linguistik*.
- Pasaribu, G. R. (2021). Implementing Google Classroom in English learning at STIT Al-Ittihadiyah Labuhanbatu Utara. *E-Link Journal*, 8(2), 99–107.

- Pasaribu, G. R. (2023a). Malay interrogative sentences: X-Bar analysis. *RETORIKA: Jurnal Ilmu Bahasa*, 9(1), 43–53.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Daulay, S. H., & Nasution, P. T. (2022). Pragmatics principles of English teachers in Islamic elementary school. *Journal of Pragmatics Research*, 4(1), 29–40.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Daulay, S. H., & Saragih, Z. (2023). The implementation of ICT in teaching English by the teacher of MTs Swasta Al-Amin. *English Language and Education Spectrum*, 3(2), 47–60.
- Pasaribu, G. R., & Salmiah, M. (2024). Linguistic landscape in Kualanamu International Airport. *LingPoet: Journal of Linguistics and Literary Research*, 5(1), 1–6.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Widayati, D., Mbetse, A. M., & Dardanila, D. (2023). The fauna lexicon in Aceh proverb: Ecolinguistic study. *Jurnal Arbitrer*, 10(2), 149–159.
- Plag, I. (2003). *Word-formation in English*. Cambridge University Press.
- Selinker, L. (1972). Interlanguage. *International Review of Applied Linguistics*, 10(1–4), 209–231.
- Yule, G. (2020). *The study of language* (7th ed.). Cambridge University Press.