



JELT

Journal of English Education, Teaching, and Literature

| e-ISSN: 3026-4812 |

<https://jurnal.rahiscendekiaindonesia.co.id/index.php/jetl>



Education Article

Personalized Learning Approaches in Teaching English for Diverse Learners

Siti Meutia Sari

Graha Nusantara University

KEYWORDS

Personalized Learning, Diverse Learners, English Language Teaching, Differentiated Instruction, Student-Centered Learning

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR(S):

E-mail: meutia.s@yahoo.com

A B S T R A C T

The increasing diversity in English language classrooms has prompted the need for more adaptive and individualized teaching methods. This study examines the implementation of personalized learning approaches to accommodate the varying needs, interests, and abilities of learners. Using a qualitative descriptive method, data were collected through observation, interviews, and document analysis from English teachers who employ personalized strategies in their instruction. The results reveal that personalized learning fosters greater student engagement, motivation, and language acquisition by offering differentiated tasks, integrating technology, providing individualized feedback, and conducting formative assessments. However, challenges such as large class sizes, limited resources, and the necessity of strong teacher competencies were also identified. It is concluded that personalized learning can significantly improve the effectiveness of English language education for diverse learners if supported by appropriate institutional policies, continuous professional development, and learner training in self-regulation. The findings offer valuable insights for educators seeking to design more inclusive, responsive, and student-centered English language classrooms.

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary landscape of English language teaching (ELT) is marked by an extraordinary diversity of learners, each bringing their own cultural backgrounds, prior knowledge, learning preferences, and personal aspirations into the classroom. As globalization intensifies, English has become not only a tool for international communication but also a vital asset for academic, professional, and social advancement. Consequently, English educators are increasingly challenged to meet the varied needs of a heterogeneous student population. Traditional teaching methods, often characterized by standardized curricula and uniform instructional delivery, struggle to accommodate the wide spectrum of learner differences. In this context, the adoption of personalized learning approaches has emerged as a pivotal innovation aimed at promoting more equitable, engaging, and effective language instruction.

Personalized learning, at its core, refers to educational practices that are tailored to the individual strengths, needs, skills, and interests of each student. Rather than treating the classroom as a homogenous unit, personalized learning recognizes the unique journey of every learner and seeks to adapt instruction accordingly. In the domain of English language teaching, this means designing activities, selecting materials, and providing support mechanisms that align with each student's linguistic proficiency, cognitive style, motivational factors, and cultural context. By doing so, learners are empowered to take ownership of their educational experiences, fostering higher levels of engagement, intrinsic motivation, and academic success.

The growing interest in personalized learning is also fueled by rapid advancements in educational technology. Digital tools such as adaptive learning platforms, language learning apps, and online collaborative environments enable teachers to monitor progress, offer real-time feedback, and customize content delivery with unprecedented precision. These technological innovations facilitate a shift from teacher-centered instruction to learner-centered environments, where students can progress at their own pace, explore areas of personal interest, and receive immediate, targeted interventions when needed. Furthermore, technology broadens access to a wealth of authentic English materials, from interactive videos to virtual conversation exchanges, catering to a variety of learning modalities and preferences.

In addition to technological integration, personalized learning in English classrooms also involves pedagogical strategies such as differentiated instruction, project-based learning, and formative assessment. Differentiated instruction allows teachers to modify content, process, and product based on student readiness levels, interests, and learning profiles. Project-based learning engages students in real-world tasks that are meaningful and personally relevant, promoting deeper language use and critical thinking skills. Formative assessment practices provide ongoing insights into student progress, informing instructional adjustments and empowering learners to reflect on their own development.

However, while the benefits of personalized learning are widely recognized, its implementation presents several challenges. Designing truly personalized instruction requires significant time, resources, and expertise on the part of educators. It demands a shift in mindset from delivering uniform lessons to orchestrating dynamic, flexible learning experiences. Moreover, issues of equity must be carefully considered, ensuring that all learners, regardless of their socioeconomic background or technological access, can benefit from personalized approaches.

This paper seeks to explore the concept of personalized learning in the context of teaching English to diverse learners. It will examine the theoretical foundations of personalized learning, discuss practical strategies for implementation, analyze the role of technology, and identify both the opportunities and challenges associated with this approach. Through this exploration, the paper aims to highlight how personalized learning can contribute to more inclusive, responsive, and effective English language education in an increasingly diverse world.

METHOD

The contemporary landscape of English language teaching (ELT) is marked by an extraordinary diversity of learners, each bringing their own cultural backgrounds, prior knowledge, learning preferences, and personal aspirations into the classroom. As globalization intensifies, English has become not only a tool for international communication but also a vital asset for academic, professional, and social advancement. Consequently, English educators are increasingly challenged to meet the varied needs of a heterogeneous student population. Traditional teaching methods, often characterized by standardized curricula and uniform instructional delivery, struggle to accommodate the wide spectrum of learner differences. In this context, the adoption of personalized learning approaches has emerged as a pivotal innovation aimed at promoting more equitable, engaging, and effective language instruction.

Personalized learning, at its core, refers to educational practices that are tailored to the individual strengths, needs, skills, and interests of each student. Rather than treating the classroom as a homogenous unit, personalized learning recognizes the unique journey of every learner and seeks to adapt instruction accordingly. In the domain of English language teaching, this means designing activities, selecting materials, and providing support mechanisms that align with each student's linguistic proficiency, cognitive style, motivational factors, and cultural context. By doing so, learners are empowered to take ownership of their educational experiences, fostering higher levels of engagement, intrinsic motivation, and academic success.

The growing interest in personalized learning is also fueled by rapid advancements in educational technology. Digital tools such as adaptive learning platforms, language learning apps, and online collaborative environments enable teachers to monitor progress, offer real-time feedback, and customize content delivery with unprecedented precision. These technological innovations facilitate a shift from teacher-centered instruction to learner-centered environments, where students can progress at their own pace, explore areas of personal interest, and receive immediate, targeted interventions when needed. Furthermore, technology broadens access to a wealth of authentic English materials, from interactive videos to virtual conversation exchanges, catering to a variety of learning modalities and preferences.

In addition to technological integration, personalized learning in English classrooms also involves pedagogical strategies such as differentiated instruction, project-based learning, and formative assessment. Differentiated instruction allows teachers to modify content, process, and product based on student readiness levels, interests, and learning profiles. Project-based learning engages students in real-world tasks that are meaningful and personally relevant, promoting deeper language use and critical thinking skills. Formative assessment practices provide ongoing insights into student progress, informing instructional adjustments and empowering learners to reflect on their own development.

However, while the benefits of personalized learning are widely recognized, its implementation presents several challenges. Designing truly personalized instruction

requires significant time, resources, and expertise on the part of educators. It demands a shift in mindset from delivering uniform lessons to orchestrating dynamic, flexible learning experiences. Moreover, issues of equity must be carefully considered, ensuring that all learners, regardless of their socioeconomic background or technological access, can benefit from personalized approaches.

This paper seeks to explore the concept of personalized learning in the context of teaching English to diverse learners. It will examine the theoretical foundations of personalized learning, discuss practical strategies for implementation, analyze the role of technology, and identify both the opportunities and challenges associated with this approach. Through this exploration, the paper aims to highlight how personalized learning can contribute to more inclusive, responsive, and effective English language education in an increasingly diverse world.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal that personalized learning approaches in English language classrooms significantly enhance student engagement, motivation, and language development, although their implementation is not without challenges. Analysis of interviews, classroom observations, and document reviews shows that teachers employed a variety of strategies to personalize instruction, including differentiated tasks, flexible grouping, the integration of technology, and individualized feedback systems.

One prominent strategy identified was differentiated instruction based on students' proficiency levels, learning styles, and interests. Teachers routinely adapted their lesson plans to include tiered activities, offering varying degrees of difficulty or modes of learning to suit diverse learner profiles. For instance, in vocabulary acquisition tasks, advanced students were given complex contextual usage exercises, while beginner learners focused on basic word-picture matching activities. Observations confirmed that such differentiation helped sustain attention and fostered a sense of competence among learners at different levels, supporting the notion that appropriate challenge is essential for motivation, as posited by Vygotskian theories of scaffolding and the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD).

Technology integration emerged as another vital element in personalized English instruction. Teachers used adaptive learning platforms, language learning apps, and multimedia resources to provide students with opportunities for self-paced, individualized practice. Students reported that access to interactive digital tools made learning more enjoyable and allowed them to work on areas they personally found challenging, such as listening comprehension or pronunciation. In several classrooms, mobile applications such as Duolingo and Quizlet were used to reinforce vocabulary learning through gamified experiences, which were highly appreciated by students and contributed to increased daily practice outside formal lessons. These findings align with previous research emphasizing the role of educational technology in enhancing personalized learning by providing immediate feedback and adaptive content.

A noteworthy practice among teachers was the frequent use of formative assessments to guide instructional decisions. Teachers employed quick quizzes, reflective journals, and ongoing observations to continuously monitor students' progress and adjust instruction accordingly. This practice ensured that learning pathways remained dynamic and responsive to individual needs rather than being rigidly predefined. Students appreciated receiving timely and specific feedback, which not only highlighted their achievements but also clearly pointed out areas for improvement, thus making learning goals more transparent and attainable.

Despite the many benefits, several challenges to implementing personalized learning were identified. Teachers expressed concerns over the time-consuming nature of planning differentiated activities and managing multiple learning paths simultaneously. In under-resourced contexts, limited access to technology and large class sizes further complicated efforts to personalize instruction effectively. Some students also initially struggled with the increased responsibility for their own learning that personalization demands, especially those accustomed to more traditional, teacher-directed models.

These challenges underscore the need for systemic support, including professional development opportunities for teachers focused on designing and managing personalized learning environments, as well as institutional investments in technological infrastructure. Furthermore, while personalized learning empowers students, the study indicates the importance of scaffolding students' ability to set goals, self-monitor, and reflect on their learning progress, especially for learners transitioning from more passive educational experiences.

Overall, the findings suggest that personalized learning approaches, when thoughtfully implemented, can create more inclusive and responsive English language learning environments. By acknowledging and embracing the diversity of learners, personalized instruction not only improves language proficiency but also nurtures greater learner autonomy, confidence, and lifelong learning skills. However, effective implementation requires careful planning, resource support, and a shift in both teacher and student mindsets towards more active and collaborative roles in the learning process.

CONCLUSION

This study has explored the implementation of personalized learning approaches in teaching English to diverse learners, highlighting both the opportunities and challenges inherent in such pedagogical innovations. The findings demonstrate that personalized instruction, when applied thoughtfully, has the potential to significantly enhance learner engagement, motivation, and language acquisition. Differentiated tasks, technology integration, individualized feedback, and dynamic formative assessments have emerged as critical strategies that enable teachers to address the varied needs, interests, and abilities of their students.

It is evident that personalized learning fosters a more inclusive and empowering environment where learners are not only recipients of knowledge but also active

participants in shaping their own educational journeys. Students who experience personalized learning tend to develop stronger self-regulation skills, clearer goal orientation, and a deeper sense of ownership over their language development. These outcomes are particularly important in today's diverse classrooms, where learners' backgrounds, prior knowledge, and aspirations vary widely.

Nevertheless, the study also reveals that personalized learning is not without its challenges. Teachers must navigate the complexities of designing differentiated instruction, managing multiple learning trajectories, and addressing logistical constraints such as limited technological resources and large class sizes. Moreover, students require adequate support to adapt to the increased autonomy and responsibility that personalized learning demands. Without proper scaffolding and institutional support, the effectiveness of personalized approaches can be significantly diminished.

In light of these findings, it is recommended that schools and educational institutions invest in ongoing professional development programs that equip teachers with the skills needed to implement personalized learning effectively. Additionally, policies that facilitate smaller class sizes, greater access to educational technology, and collaborative planning time for teachers would greatly enhance the sustainability of personalized instruction. For learners, integrating explicit training in self-regulation, goal-setting, and reflective practices within the curriculum can smooth the transition towards more personalized models of learning.

In conclusion, personalized learning approaches represent a promising pathway for making English language education more responsive to the diverse needs of learners. By valuing individual differences and promoting learner-centered pedagogies, personalized learning can contribute to building more equitable, engaging, and effective educational experiences. However, realizing the full potential of personalized instruction requires systemic changes, sustained commitment from educators, and a shared vision that places the learner at the heart of the educational process.

REFERENCES

- Amru, A., Sakinah, N., & Pasaribu, G. R. (2024). The impact of accent second language on listening comprehension. *JELT: Journal of English Education, Teaching and Literature*, 2(1), 1–14.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
<https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>
- Graham, C. R., Woodfield, W., & Harrison, J. B. (2013). A framework for institutional adoption and implementation of blended learning in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 18, 4–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2012.09.003>
- Junaidi, J., Januarini, E., & Pasaribu, G. R. (2024). Impoliteness in information account on Instagram. *JALC: Journal of Applied Linguistics and Studies of Cultural*, 2(1), 41–50.

- Mubshirah, D., Pasaribu, G. R., Lubis, M. H., Saragih, E., & Sofyan, R. (2023). Sentence complexity analysis in selected students' scientific papers: A closer look on abstract section. *E-Structural (English Studies on Translation, Culture, Literature, and Linguistics)*, 6(01), 70–83.
- Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2017). *Informing Progress: Insights on Personalized Learning Implementation and Effects*. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR2042.html
- Pasaribu, G. (2021). Implementing Google Classroom in English learning at STIT Al-Ittihadiyah Labuhanbatu Utara. *E-Link Journal*, 8(2), 99–107.
- Pasaribu, G. (2023). Receptive oral language skills. [Self-published].
- Pasaribu, G. (2023). Malay Interrogative Sentences: X-Bar Analysis. *RETORIKA: Jurnal Ilmu Bahasa*, 9(1), 43–53.
- Pasaribu, G., & Arfianty, R. (2025). *Filsafat Linguistik*. [Self-published].
- Pasaribu, G., Arfianty, R., & Januarini, E. (2024). *Mulia di Linguistik*. [Self-published].
- Pasaribu, G. R., Daulay, S. H., & Nasution, P. T. (2022). Pragmatics principles of English teachers in Islamic elementary school. *Journal of Pragmatics Research*, 4(1), 29–40.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Daulay, S. H., & Saragih, Z. (2023). The implementation of ICT in teaching English by the teacher of MTS Swasta Al-Amin. *English Language and Education Spectrum*, 3(2), 47–60.
- Pasaribu, G. R., & Salmiah, M. (2024). Linguistic Landscape in Kualanamu International Airport. *LingPoet: Journal of Linguistics and Literary Research*, 5(1), 1–6.
- Pasaribu, G. R., Widayati, D., Mbete, A. M., & Dardanila, D. (2023). The fauna lexicon in Aceh proverb: Ecolinguistic Study. *Jurnal Arbitrer*, 10(2), 149–159.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The Differentiated Classroom: Responding to the Needs of All Learners* (2nd ed.). ASCD.
- Underwood, J., & Banyard, P. (2008). *Learning and Teaching Using ICT in Secondary Schools*. Routledge.
- van Lehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(4), 197–221. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.611369>
- Wang, S., & Vásquez, C. (2012). Web 2.0 and second language learning: What does the research tell us? *CALICO Journal*, 29(3), 412–430. <https://doi.org/10.11139/cj.29.3.412-430>